

Quantitative Wheeze Severity for Evaluating Treatment Response in Asthma

使用量化喘鳴音指標評估氣喘療效

Nian-Jhen Lin (林念蓁)¹, Wen-Yin Wang (王文吟)², Fushun Hsu (許富舜)^{2*},
 Ho-Tsung Hsin (辛和宗)³, Chung-Wei Chen (陳忠蔚)²

¹ Division of Pulmonary Medicine, ² Department of Critical Care Medicine, ³ Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Far Eastern Memorial Hospital 亞東紀念醫院¹胸腔內科, ²重症醫學部, ³心臟血管內科

INTRODUCTION

Wheeze has long been viewed as an important clinical sign of asthma attack. Wheeze is caused by the narrowing of airway that could be triggered by physical exercise, allergic reaction, drug use or exposure to other irritants. With the advent of computerized stethoscopes, previous reports have used the temporal and spectral features to quantify the wheeze. The quantified severity of wheeze is reported to be inversely correlated with pulmonary function (e.g., FEV₁). Some studies have used these quantitative indexes of wheeze to show the treatment response in asthma. Here, we reported two patients with acute exacerbation of asthma.

CASE REPORT

The two patients were admitted to the hospital, and BiPAP was applied on both patients for breathing support. Bronchodilator inhalation therapy was given. Auscultation was performed at 8 different locations (the 2nd and 5th intercostal spaces in the bilateral midclavicular lines and the 5th and 10th intercostal spaces in the bilateral midaxillary lines) using a Littmann 3200 digital stethoscope (3M, Minnesota, US) at admission (before treatment) and at discharge (after treatment). A 15-second-long sound from each location was recorded and the sampling rate was 4,000 Hz. The sound signals were transformed to spectrograms based on short-time Fourier transform (window size = 256, overlap ratio = 0.85 and zero padding = 512). One experienced expert labeled the events of inspiration, expiration and wheeze when simultaneously listening to the recorded sound and viewing the corresponding spectrogram. Polyphonic wheezes were observed in both cases before treatment.

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

The paired (pre- and post-treatment) quantitative indexes were compared by Wilcoxon Sign-Ranked test. The results displayed significant improvements in Δ occupation rate (-0.534 ± 0.133 , $P < 0.001$), Δ presences/breathing cycles (-0.734 ± 0.361 , $P < 0.001$), Δ normalized maximum duration (-1.292 ± 0.536 s, $P < 0.001$), Δ normalized median duration (-0.817 ± 0.665 s, $P = 0.002$) and Δ normalized mean duration (-0.665 ± 0.316 s, $P = 0.004$). Conclusively, a computerized stethoscope with spectrogram visualization and quantitative indexes provides useful information to track the change of wheeze severity in asthma.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Far Eastern Memorial Hospital and Heroic Faith Medical Science Co., Ltd.




Figure 1. Bland-Altman plot of wheeze presences/breathing cycles before and after bronchodilator therapy.




Figure 2. Bland-Altman plot of normalized median duration before and after bronchodilator therapy.




Figure 3. Bland-Altman plot of normalized max duration before and after bronchodilator therapy.




Figure 4. Bland-Altman plot of normalized mean duration before and after bronchodilator therapy.




Figure 5. Bland-Altman plot of wheeze occupation rate before and after bronchodilator therapy.




Figure 6. Spectrograms of wheeze lung sounds (a) before, and (b) after bronchodilator therapy from patient 1. The sounds were obtained at the right 2nd intercostal space in the midclavicular line. Wheeze sounds were indicated by white boxes.